arXiv:1205.1991v3 [hep-th] 25 Jan 2013

# T-duality in the weakly curved background *

Lj. Davidović †and B. Sazdović ‡
*Institute of Physics,*
*University of Belgrade,*
*11001 Belgrade, P.O.Box 57, Serbia*

January 28, 2013

**Abstract**

We consider the closed string propagating in the weakly curved background which consists of constant metric and Kalb-Ramond field with infinitesimally small coordinate dependent part. We perform T-duality transformations along coordinates on which the Kalb-Ramond field depends. For the closed string in the weakly curved background, this is still the isometry. We propose the procedure for constructing the T-dual theory. The obtained theory is defined in the non-geometric double space, described by the Lagrange multiplier $y_\mu$ and its $T$-dual $\tilde{y}_\mu$. We apply the proposed T-duality procedure to the T-dual theory and obtain the initial one. We demonstrate the standard relations between T-dual theories that the equations of motion and momenta modes of one theory are the Bianchi identities and the winding modes of the other.

## 1 Introduction

In string theory, the duality symmetry was for the first time described in the context of toroidal compactification in [1] (thoroughly explained in [2]). If only one dimension is compactified on the radius $R$, then under the following transformation

$$R \to \frac{\alpha'}{R}, \quad \Phi \to \Phi - \log(\frac{R}{\sqrt{\alpha'}}), \tag{1.1}$$

where $\alpha'$ is Regge slope parameter, the physical features of the interacting theory remain the same. This kind of symmetry can be generalized to the arbitrary toroidal compactification [3], and extended to the non-flat conformal backgrounds [4]. There exists the

---

*Work supported in part by the Serbian Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development, under contract No. 171031.

†e-mail: ljubica@ipb.ac.rs

‡e-mail: sazdovic@ipb.ac.rs

relation between T-dual background fields and the coordinate non-commutativity parameters [5], as well as the relation between fermionic T-dual fields and the parameters of the momenta non-commutativity [6].

In Buscher's construction of T-dual theory [4, 7], one starts with the manifold containing metric $G_{\mu\nu}$, antisymmetric field $B_{\mu\nu}$ and dilaton field $\Phi$. It is required that the metric admits at least one continuous abelian isometry which leaves the action for the $\sigma$-model invariant. One can choose the target space coordinates $x^\mu = (x^i, x^a)$, such that the isometry acts by the translation of the periodic coordinates $x^a$, changing $x^a$ independent background fields $G$, $B$, $\Phi$ into the corresponding T-dual fields $\tilde{G}$, $\tilde{B}$, $\tilde{\Phi}$. In this way one connects different geometries and two seemingly different $\sigma$-models. This method was originally obtained in non covariant way (because of the choice of coordinates), but it was soon slightly modified, which lead to the covariant construction [8].

In the covariant construction, the isometry is gauged by introducing the gauge fields $v_\alpha^\mu$. In order to preserve the physical meaning of the original theory, one requires that the new fields $v_\alpha^\mu$ do not carry the additional degrees of freedom. This means that these fields should have the vanishing field strength

$$F_{\alpha\beta}^\mu = \partial_\alpha v_\beta^\mu - \partial_\beta v_\alpha^\mu. \tag{1.2}$$

This requirement is included in the theory by adding the term $y_\mu F_{01}^\mu$ into the Lagrangian, with $y_\mu$ being the Lagrange multiplier. This guarantees that up to the topological obstruction the dual theory will be equivalent to the original one. Fixing the gauge one obtains the final Lagrangian. The integration over the Lagrange multipliers $y_\mu$, simply recovers the original theory. The integration over the gauge fields $v_\alpha^\mu$, produces the $T$-dual theory. The non-abelian extension to T-duality has been considered in Refs. [9, 10, 11, 12].

In the construction above the background fields were constant along $x^a$. In the present article, we consider the weakly curved background. We allow the background fields to depend on the coordinates along which we perform duality transformations. Note that the isometry is still the symmetry, because the variation with respect to the argument of the background field $B_{\mu\nu}$, produces the topological term, which vanishes for the closed string.

In order to gauge the global isometry, we introduce the gauge fields $v_\alpha^\mu$, as usual. The replacement of the derivatives $\partial_\alpha x^\mu$ with the covariant ones, which are built to be gauge invariant, does not make the whole action invariant. The obstacle is the background field $B_{\mu\nu}$ depending on $x^\mu$, which is not locally gauge invariant. So, in addition we should covariantize $x^\mu$ as well. At this point we will depart from the conventional approach. We take the invariant coordinate as the line integral of the covariant derivatives of the original coordinate

$$\Delta x_{inv}^\mu = \int_P (d\xi^+ D_+ x^\mu + d\xi^- D_- x^\mu) = x^\mu - x^\mu(\xi_0) + \Delta V^\mu[v_+, v_-], \tag{1.3}$$

where $\Delta V^\mu$ is a line integral of the gauge fields $v^\mu_\alpha$. As before, in order to obtain the theory physically equivalent to the original one, all degrees of freedom carried by the gauge fields $v^\mu_\alpha$ should be eliminated. Therefore, we add Lagrange multiplier term $y_\mu F^\mu_{01}$ into Lagrangian. At the end, using the local gauge freedom we fix the gauge taking $x^\mu(\xi) = x^\mu(\xi_0)$.

So, we succeed in generalizing the gauged action, which is equivalent to the original one after integrating out the Lagrange multiplier. The T-dual theory is obtained by integrating with respect to gauge fields $v^\mu_\alpha$. The integration is now nontrivial because

1. the action is not bilinear in $v^\mu_\alpha$ as the background fields depend on $V^\mu[v_\alpha]$
2. $V^\mu$ is the line integral of $v^\mu_\alpha$.

The fact that this background is characterized by the infinitesimally small parameter enables one to solve the problem and find the T-dual action. There are two essential differences in the T-dual action in comparison to the flat background case. The first one is that the target space of the T-dual theory in the weakly curved background turns out to be the non-geometrical one [11, 12, 15]. This is doubled space with two coordinates, one of them being the Lagrange multiplier as in the case of the flat background. The second one is $T$-dual of the first. The second difference is the coordinate dependence of both dual background fields as a consequence of the coordinate dependent initial Kalb-Ramond field.

The theory defined has a few difficulties. The line integral and consequently the invariant coordinate $\Delta x^\mu_{inv}$ and $\Delta V^\mu$ are multivalued. The Lagrange multiplier term $y_\mu F^\mu_{01}$ grantees that the gauge field is closed ($dv = 0$) but one should consider the topological contribution as well. Because of this we additionally investigate the holonomies of $v$. It is known that at the higher genus the holonomies of the world-sheet gauge fields $v$ cause the obstruction in recovering the original theory from the gauged one [13]. In order to understand these problems, connected with the global structure of the theory, we consider the quantum theory in the section 5 following Refs. [7, 8, 11, 13].

Because T-duality leads to the equivalent theory, we can expect that the T-dual of the T-dual theory is the initial one. Starting from the T-dual action, one can repeat T-duality procedure to obtain the initial theory. The T-dual theory is defined in doubled space but is still globally invariant under the shift of the T-dual coordinate $y_\mu$. Gauging this symmetry, we show that T-dual of the T-dual is indeed the original theory.

## 2 Bosonic string in the weakly curved background

Let us consider the action [16]

$$S[x] = \kappa \int_\Sigma d^2\xi \sqrt{-g}\Big[\frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} G_{\mu\nu}[x] + \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}}{\sqrt{-g}} B_{\mu\nu}[x]\Big]\partial_\alpha x^\mu \partial_\beta x^\nu, \quad (\varepsilon^{01} = -1), \tag{2.1}$$

describing the propagation of the bosonic string in the non-trivial background, defined by the space-time metric $G_{\mu\nu}$ and the Kalb-Ramond field $B_{\mu\nu}$. The integration goes over two-dimensional world-sheet $\Sigma$ parametrized by $\xi^\alpha$ ($\xi^0 = \tau,\ \xi^1 = \sigma$), $g_{\alpha\beta}$ is intrinsic world-sheet metric, $x^\mu(\xi),\ \mu = 0, 1, ..., D-1$ are the coordinates of the D-dimensional space-time $M$ and $\kappa = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'}$.

Introducing the light-cone coordinates and their derivatives

$$\xi^\pm = \frac{1}{2}(\tau \pm \sigma), \qquad \partial_\pm = \partial_\tau \pm \partial_\sigma, \tag{2.2}$$

taking conformal gauge $g_{\alpha\beta} = e^{2F}\eta_{\alpha\beta}$ and defining

$$\Pi_{\pm\mu\nu}[x] = B_{\mu\nu}[x] \pm \frac{1}{2}G_{\mu\nu}[x], \tag{2.3}$$

the action (2.1) can be written in the form

$$S[x] = \kappa \int_\Sigma d^2\xi\ \partial_+ x^\mu \Pi_{+\mu\nu}[x] \partial_- x^\nu. \tag{2.4}$$

The consistency of the theory requires the world-sheet conformal invariance on the quantum level. This means that the background fields satisfy space-time equations of motion. To the lowest order in slope parameter $\alpha'$, for the constant dilaton field $\Phi = const$ these equations have the form

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}B_{\mu\rho\sigma}B_\nu{}^{\rho\sigma} = 0\,, \tag{2.5}$$

$$D_\rho B^\rho{}_{\mu\nu} = 0, \tag{2.6}$$

where $B_{\mu\nu\rho} = \partial_\mu B_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\nu B_{\rho\mu} + \partial_\rho B_{\mu\nu}$ is the field strength of the field $B_{\mu\nu}$, and $R_{\mu\nu}$ and $D_\mu$ are Ricci tensor and covariant derivative with respect to space-time metric.

We consider one of the simplest coordinate dependent solutions of (2.5) and (2.6). This is the weakly curved background, defined by the following expressions

$$G_{\mu\nu} = const, \quad B_{\mu\nu}[x] = b_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{3}B_{\mu\nu\rho}x^\rho. \tag{2.7}$$

This background satisfies the space-time equations of motion, if the constant $B_{\mu\nu\rho}$ is taken to be infinitesimally small and all the calculations are done in the first order in $B_{\mu\nu\rho}$.

The weakly curved background was considered in Refs. [17], where the influence of the boundary conditions on the non-commutativity at the open bosonic string end-points has been investigated. The same approximation (not refereed to as weakly curved background), was considered in [18], where the closed string non-commutativity was investigated. In the present paper, we will investigate the closed bosonic string moving in the weakly curved background, with a goal to find the generalization of the Bouscher's construction of T-dual theory.

# 3 Generalized Bouscher's construction

In the standard Bouscher's construction of T-dual theory, the premise is that the target space has isometries. It is possible to chose adopted coordinates $x^\mu = (x^i, x^a)$, so that the isometries act as translations of $x^a$ components. If background fields are $x^a$-independent, the action is invariant under the global shift symmetry. The weakly curved background preserves this symmetry, despite of $x^a$-dependence of the background fields. As this is not obvious, let us first demonstrate that the global shift

$$\delta x^\mu = \lambda^\mu = const, \tag{3.1}$$

leaves the action (2.4) for the closed string, invariant. For simplicity we assume that all the coordinates are compact.

As $B_{\mu\nu}$ is linear in coordinate, one has

$$\delta S = \frac{\kappa}{3} B_{\mu\nu\rho}\lambda^\rho \int d^2\xi \partial_+ x^\mu \partial_- x^\nu = \frac{\kappa}{3} B_{\mu\nu\rho}\lambda^\rho \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \int d^2\xi \partial_\alpha x^\mu \partial_\beta x^\nu. \tag{3.2}$$

This is proportional to the total divergence

$$\delta S = \frac{\kappa}{3} B_{\mu\nu\rho}\lambda^\rho \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \int d^2\xi \partial_\alpha (x^\mu \partial_\beta x^\nu) = 0, \tag{3.3}$$

which vanishes in the case of the closed string and the topologically trivial mapping of the world-sheet into the space-time. In section 5, we will show, using the Riemann bilinear relation, that for toroidal space-time $\delta S$ also vanishes at one loop level.

## 3.1 Gauging shift symmetry

In the present paper the procedure for gauging the global shift symmetries is different from the conventional one [4, 11, 12, 14]. The coordinate dependence of the Kalb-Ramond field, separates us from the conventional approach. We will introduce the invariant coordinate, which will induce the problem of the non-locality of the expression $V^\mu$. But fortunately, in the quantum theory, $V^\mu$ will become the well defined single valued expression.

To localize the global symmetry, we introduce the world-sheet gauge fields $v^\mu_\alpha$ and substitute the ordinary derivatives with the covariant ones

$$\partial_\alpha x^\mu \to D_\alpha x^\mu = \partial_\alpha x^\mu + v^\mu_\alpha. \tag{3.4}$$

We want the covariant derivatives to be gauge invariant, so we impose the transformation law for the gauge fields

$$\delta v^\mu_\alpha = -\partial_\alpha \lambda^\mu, \quad (\lambda^\mu = \lambda^\mu(\tau, \sigma)). \tag{3.5}$$

This replacement is, however, not sufficient to make the action locally invariant because the background field $B_{\mu\nu}$ in the weakly curved background, depends on the coordinate $x^\mu$

which is not gauge invariant. So, there is one more important step to be done. We should replace the coordinate $x^\mu$, with some extension for it, where only already introduced gauge fields $v^\mu_\alpha$ will appear. Let us define the invariant coordinate by

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta x^\mu_{inv} &\equiv \int_P d\xi^\alpha\, D_\alpha x^\mu = \int_P (d\xi^+ D_+ x^\mu + d\xi^- D_- x^\nu) \\ &= x^\mu - x^\mu(\xi_0) + \Delta V^\mu = x^\mu_{inv}(\xi) - x^\mu_{inv}(\xi_0),\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$\Delta V^\mu \equiv \int_P d\xi^\alpha v^\mu_\alpha = \int_P (d\xi^+ v^\mu_+ + d\xi^- v^\mu_-) = V^\mu(\xi) - V^\mu(\xi_0). \tag{3.7}$$

The line integral is taken along the path $P$, from the initial point $\xi^\alpha_0(\tau_0, \sigma_0)$ to the final one $\xi^\alpha(\tau, \sigma)$. The path dependence will be discussed in 3.3 for the world-sheets with trivial holonomies and in 5 for world-sheets with the nontivial ones.

We require dual theory to be equivalent to the initial one. So, we do not want to introduce new degrees of freedom, originating from the gauge fields. Therefore, we will require the corresponding field strength

$$F^\mu_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \partial_\alpha v^\mu_\beta - \partial_\beta v^\mu_\alpha, \tag{3.8}$$

to vanish. We can achieve this by introducing the Lagrange multiplier $y_\mu$, and the appropriate term in the Lagrangian which will force $F^\mu_{+-} \equiv \partial_+ v^\mu_- - \partial_- v^\mu_+ = -2F^\mu_{01}$ to vanish. So, the gauge invariant action is

$$S_{inv} = \kappa \int d^2\xi \Big[ D_+ x^\mu \Pi_{+\mu\nu}[\Delta x_{inv}] D_- x^\nu + \frac{1}{2}(v^\mu_+ \partial_- y_\mu - v^\mu_- \partial_+ y_\mu) \Big], \tag{3.9}$$

where the last term is equal $\frac{1}{2} y_\mu F^\mu_{+-}$ up to the total divergence. The significance of the total derivative term will be clear in section 5, where the quantum theory will be discussed.

Now, we can use the gauge freedom to fix the gauge. It is easy to see that $x^\mu(\xi) = x^\mu(\xi_0)$ is good gauge fixing, because $\delta x^\mu = \lambda^\mu = 0$ has unic solution for the gauge parameter $\lambda^\mu$. So, the gauge fixed action equals

$$S_{fix}[y, v_\pm] = \kappa \int d^2\xi \Big[ v^\mu_+ \Pi_{+\mu\nu}[\Delta V] v^\nu_- + \frac{1}{2}(v^\mu_+ \partial_- y_\mu - v^\mu_- \partial_+ y_\mu) \Big], \tag{3.10}$$

where $y_\mu$ and $v^\mu_\pm$ are independent variables and $\Delta V^\mu$ is defined in (3.7).

### 3.2 Comparison with the existing gauging procedure

Let us compare our gauging method with that already present in the literature [11, 14]. The gauging procedure of the Ref. [14] applied to our case, implies that $B$-dependent part of the action transforms as

$$\delta S_{HS} = \kappa \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} \int d^2\xi h_{\mu\nu}(\lambda) \Big[ \partial_\alpha x^\mu \partial_\beta x^\nu + 2\partial_\alpha x^\mu v^\nu_\beta + v^\mu_\alpha v^\nu_\beta \Big], \quad h_{\mu\nu}(x) = \frac{1}{3} B_{\mu\nu\rho} x^\rho. \tag{3.11}$$

At that point there is an additional condition in [14] as the requirement for gauge procedure to be possible. It reads "The term proportional to $\lambda^\mu$ must vanish on its own".

In our particular case this condition would be $B_{\mu\nu\rho} = 0$, which would turn our weakly curved background to the flat background. Note that $B_{\mu\nu\rho}$ is the field strength of the Kalb-Ramond field $B_{\mu\nu}$ and can not be removed by the gauge transformation. So, gauging the action of weakly curved background is not possible under conditions of Ref. [14].

Our invariant action has in comparison to (3.11) the new term

$$\bar{S}_{HS}(\Delta V) = S_{HS}(x)\Big|_{h(x)\to h(\Delta V)}, \tag{3.12}$$

which enables its invariance

$$\delta(S_{HS} + \bar{S}_{HS}) = 0. \tag{3.13}$$

### 3.3 Integrating out the Lagrange multiplier

Let us now, starting from the gauge fixed action (3.10) return to the initial theory. For the world-sheets with trivial holonomies, this will be done, as usual, integrating out the Lagrange multiplier. The consequences of nontrivial holonomies and their influence on the procedure will be discussed in the section 5.

From (3.10), follows that the equation of motion with respect to the Lagrange multiplier $y_\mu$, enforces the field strength of the gauge fields $F^\mu_{+-}$ to vanish

$$\partial_+ v^\mu_- - \partial_- v^\mu_+ = 0. \tag{3.14}$$

Its solution

$$v^\mu_\pm = \partial_\pm x^\mu, \tag{3.15}$$

substituted into (3.7) gives

$$\Delta V^\mu(\xi) = x^\mu(\xi) - x^\mu(\xi_0). \tag{3.16}$$

Note that the value of the $\Delta V^\mu$ does not depend on the choice of the path $P$, as it equals zero for the closed path. If $P$ is closed path, then using Stoke's theorem the defining integral along $P$, can be rewritten as the integral over the surface $S$ which spans the path $P = \partial S$, of the field strength of the gauge fields

$$\oint_{P=\partial S} d\xi^\alpha v^\mu_\alpha = \int_S d^2\xi\ (\partial_+ v^\mu_- - \partial_- v^\mu_+). \tag{3.17}$$

The equation of motion with respect to $y_\mu$ forces this field strength to vanish.

Taking into account that the action does not depend on the constant shift of the coordinate, we can omit $x^\mu(\xi_0)$ and so the action (3.10) becomes

$$S_{fix}[v_\pm = \partial_\pm x] \ = \ \kappa \int d^2\xi\ \partial_+ x^\mu \Pi_{+\mu\nu}[x] \partial_- x^\nu, \tag{3.18}$$

which is just the initial action (2.4).

# 4 T-dual action in the weakly curved background

The T-dual action will be obtained by integrating out the gauge fields from (3.10). The equations of motion with respect to the gauge fields $v^\mu_\pm$ are

$$\Pi_{\mp\mu\nu}[\Delta V]v^\nu_\pm + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\pm y_\mu = \mp\beta^\mp_\mu[V], \tag{4.1}$$

with the terms $\beta^\mp_\mu[V]$ defined in (A.3). Notice that $\beta^\mp_\mu$ come from the variation with respect to $\Delta V^\mu(\xi)$, the argument of the background fields, and that it depends on $V^\mu$, not on $\Delta V^\mu$.

Because $V^\mu$ is function of $v^\mu_+$ and $v^\mu_-$, there are two equations in (4.1) with two unknown variables $v^\mu_+$ and $v^\mu_-$. We can rewrite (4.1) in the form

$$v^\mu_\pm(y) \quad = \quad -\kappa\,\Theta^{\mu\nu}_\pm[\Delta V(y)]\Big[\partial_\pm y_\nu \pm 2\beta^\mp_\nu[V(y)]\Big], \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$\Theta^{\mu\nu}_\pm[\Delta V] = -\frac{2}{\kappa}(G^{-1}_E\Pi_\pm G^{-1})^{\mu\nu} = \theta^{\mu\nu}[\Delta V] \mp \frac{1}{\kappa}(G^{-1}_E)^{\mu\nu}[\Delta V], \tag{4.3}$$

and $G^E_{\mu\nu} \equiv [G - 4BG^{-1}B]_{\mu\nu}$, $\theta^{\mu\nu} \equiv -\frac{2}{\kappa}(G^{-1}_E BG^{-1})^{\mu\nu}$ are the open string background fields: the effective metric and the non-commutativity parameter respectively.

Substituting (4.2) into the action (3.10), we obtain T-dual action

$${}^\star S[y] \equiv S_{fix}[y] = \frac{\kappa^2}{2}\int d^2\xi\ \partial_+ y_\mu \Theta^{\mu\nu}_-[\Delta V(y)]\partial_- y_\nu, \tag{4.4}$$

where we neglected the term $\beta^-_\mu\beta^+_\nu$ as the infinitesimal of the second order.

Note that $V^\mu$ and $\beta^\pm_\mu$ depend on $v^\mu_\pm$ and therefore (4.2) is not the solution of (4.1), but just the more convenient form of the same equation. Moreover, note that in (4.4) we should substitute the solution to the $\Delta V^\mu$ expressed in terms of $y_\mu$. In the general case, the solution for $v^\mu_\pm$ and $\Delta V^\mu$ can not be trivially found. In the next subsections they will be found in the order needed for the case of the weakly curved background which we are considering. We will solve eq. (4.2) with (3.7) iteratively, separately addressing the absent background and the flat background (zeroth order iteration) case. At each step, we will find the explicit expressions for $v^\mu_\pm$ and $\Delta V^\mu$.

## 4.1 The case of the absent background fields ($T_0$-duality)

Let us consider the Minkowski space-time $G_{\mu\nu} \to \eta_{\mu\nu}, B_{\mu\nu} \to 0$. In this case, the gauge fields become $v^{(0)\mu}_\pm = \pm\eta^{\mu\nu}\partial_\pm y_\nu$ and the $T_0$-dual action is ${}^\star S[y] = \frac{\kappa}{2}\int d^2\xi\ \eta^{\mu\nu}\partial_+ y_\mu\partial_- y_\nu$. The expression $\Delta V^\mu$ introduced in (3.7) becomes

$$\Delta V^{(0)\mu} = \eta^{\mu\nu}\Delta\tilde{y}_\nu \tag{4.5}$$

so we can interpret $V^\mu$ as the dual coordinate $\tilde{y}_\mu$ defined by

$$\Delta\tilde{y}_\mu \equiv \int_P d\xi^\alpha \varepsilon^\beta{}_\alpha \partial_\beta y^\mu = \int_P (d\tau y'_\mu + d\sigma \dot{y}_\mu) = \int_P (d\xi^+ \partial_+ y_\mu - d\xi^- \partial_- y_\mu) = \tilde{y}_\mu(\xi) - \tilde{y}_\mu(\xi_0), \tag{4.6}$$

which is denoted by tilde. On the solution

$$y_\mu = y_{+\mu}(\xi^+) + y_{-\mu}(\xi^-), \tag{4.7}$$

of the equation of motion $\partial_+\partial_- y_\mu = 0$, the dual coordinate $\tilde{y}_\mu$ reduces to

$$\tilde{y}_\mu = y_{+\mu}(\xi^+) - y_{-\mu}(\xi^-), \tag{4.8}$$

the usual expression for the $T_0$-dual coordinate in the trivial background [16].

### 4.2 The case of the flat background ($T_1$-duality)

In the case of the constant background

$$G_{\mu\nu}[x] \to G_{\mu\nu}, \quad B_{\mu\nu}[x] \to b_{\mu\nu}, \tag{4.9}$$

the quantities $G^E_{\mu\nu}[x]$, $\theta^{\mu\nu}[x]$, $\Pi_{\pm\mu\nu}[x]$, $\Theta^{\mu\nu}_\pm[x]$ reduce to their constant parts

$$\begin{aligned} G^E_{\mu\nu}[x] &\to g_{\mu\nu} \equiv [G - 4bG^{-1}b]_{\mu\nu}, \qquad \theta^{\mu\nu}[x] \to \theta_0^{\mu\nu} \equiv -\frac{2}{\kappa}[g^{-1}bG^{-1}]^{\mu\nu}, \\ \Pi_{\pm\mu\nu}[x] &\to \Pi_{0\pm\mu\nu} \equiv b_{\mu\nu} \pm \frac{1}{2}G_{\mu\nu}, \qquad \Theta^{\mu\nu}_\pm[x] \to \Theta^{\mu\nu}_{0\pm} \equiv \theta_0^{\mu\nu} \mp \frac{1}{\kappa}(g^{-1})^{\mu\nu}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

As $\Pi_{0+\mu\nu}$ is constant, $\beta^\pm_\mu$ vanishes and (4.2) has the solution

$$v^{(1)\mu}_\pm = -\kappa\, \Theta^{\mu\nu}_{0\pm} \partial_\pm y_\nu. \tag{4.11}$$

The $T_1$-dual action

$$S[y] = \frac{\kappa^2}{2} \int d^2\xi\ \partial_+ y_\mu \Theta^{\mu\nu}_{0-} \partial_- y_\nu, \tag{4.12}$$

has in comparison to $T_0$ case the additional term depending on the constant antisymmetric background field $b_{\mu\nu}$.

Using (3.7), (4.11) and (4.6), we obtain $\Delta V^{(1)\mu} = V^{(1)\mu}(\xi) - V^{(1)\mu}(\xi_0)$ with

$$V^{(1)\mu}(\xi) \;\; = \;\; -\kappa\theta_0^{\mu\nu} y_\nu + (g^{-1})^{\mu\nu}\tilde{y}_\nu = (g^{-1})^{\mu\nu}[(2bG^{-1})_\nu{}^\rho y_\rho + \tilde{y}_\nu], \tag{4.13}$$

where

$$\Delta y_\mu(\xi) \equiv \int_P d\xi^\alpha \partial_\alpha y_\mu = \int_P (d\tau \dot{y}_\mu + d\sigma y'_\mu) = \int_P (d\xi^+ \partial_+ y_\mu + d\xi^- \partial_- y_\mu) = y_\mu(\xi) - y_\mu(\xi_0). \tag{4.14}$$

Obviously, by putting $b_{\mu\nu} = 0$ one goes from $T_1$ to $T_0$-duality, because $v^{(1)\mu}_\pm \to v^{(0)\mu}_\pm$ and $V^{(1)\mu} \to V^{(0)\mu}$.

### 4.3 The case of the weakly curved background

Note that the variable $V^\mu$, in the case of the weakly curved background, appears always in the terms containing the infinitesimal $B_{\mu\nu\rho}$. So, as we are working up to the first order in $B_{\mu\nu\rho}$, the zeroth order value of $V^\mu$, $V^{(1)\mu}$ given with (4.13), will be substituted in all the expressions. In the rest of the paper the index (1) will be omitted.

The solution of (4.2) in the weakly curved background is

$$v^\mu_\pm = -\kappa \Theta^{\mu\nu}_\pm [\Delta V] \Big[ \partial_\pm y_\nu \pm 2\beta^\mp_\nu [V] \Big]. \tag{4.15}$$

Comparing it with the solution (3.15), we obtain

$$\partial_\pm x^\mu \cong -\kappa \Theta^{\mu\nu}_\pm [\Delta V] \Big[ \partial_\pm y_\nu \pm 2\beta^\mp_\nu [V] \Big], \tag{4.16}$$

which is in fact the T-dual transformation of the variables law.

Finally, the T-dual action (4.4) takes the form

$$^\star S[y] = \frac{\kappa^2}{2} \int d^2\xi \; \partial_+ y_\mu \Theta^{\mu\nu}_- [\Delta V] \partial_- y_\nu. \tag{4.17}$$

Comparing the initial action (2.4) with the T-dual one (4.17), we see that they are equal under following transformations

$$\partial_\pm x^\mu \to \partial_\pm y_\mu, \qquad \Pi_{+\mu\nu}[x] \to \frac{\kappa}{2} \Theta^{\mu\nu}_- [\Delta V], \tag{4.18}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\mu\nu} &\to {}^\star G^{\mu\nu}[y, \tilde{y}] = (G_E^{-1})^{\mu\nu} [\Delta V], \\ B_{\mu\nu}[x] &\to {}^\star B^{\mu\nu}[y, \tilde{y}] = \frac{\kappa}{2} \theta^{\mu\nu} [\Delta V], \\ \Delta V^\mu &= V^\mu(\xi) - V^\mu(\xi_0), \quad V^\mu = (g^{-1})^{\mu\nu} [(2bG^{-1})_\nu{}^\rho y_\rho + \tilde{y}_\nu]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

Let us underline that in the initial theory the metric tensor is constant and the Kalb-Ramond field is linear in coordinate $x^\mu$. In the T-dual theory, both background fields depend on $\Delta V^\mu$, which is the linear combination of $y_\mu$ and its dual $\tilde{y}_\mu$. Note that the variable $V^\mu$ and consequently T-dual action is not defined on the geometrical space (defined by the coordinate $y_\mu$) but on the so called doubled target space [15] composed of both $y_\mu$ and $\tilde{y}_\mu$.

## 5 Nontrivial holonomies in quantum theory

Let us now discuss the global features of our procedure. One can in fact postulate the gauged fixed action (3.10) and if equivalence with the original action (2.4) can be proved, the previously mentioned problems of global issues can be disregarded.

The classical theory has a few problems. The invariant coordinate $\Delta x_{inv}$ is multi-valued and the equivalence of the gauged and initial theories was proved only for trivial topology. Furthermore, in the quantum theory, the holonomies of the world-sheet gauge fields introduce the new obstructions. But, these problems can be solved in Abelian case in the quantum theory following Refs. [7, 8, 11]. The nonabelian case introduces new problems discussed in detail in Ref. [13].

For simplicity we will consider the case when the world-sheet is a torus. In the Abelian case the generalization to the higher genus is straightforward. Let us make Wick rotation $\tau \to -i\tau$ and convert Lorentzian signature to the Euclidean one. Then, the term in the action which contains metric tensor $G_{\mu\nu}$ acquires multiplier $i$, while the terms which contain Kalb-Ramond field $B_{\mu\nu}$ and Lagrange multiplier $y_\mu$ (with the odd numbers of $\tau$ derivatives) remain unchanged. Therefore, the Euclidean path integral partition function equals

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}y \mathcal{D}v \, e^{-S(v,\Delta V) + i\kappa \int_\Sigma v dy}, \tag{5.1}$$

where

$$S(v, V) = \frac{\kappa}{2} \int_\Sigma v \, G^\star v - i\kappa \int_\Sigma v B[\Delta V] v. \tag{5.2}$$

We simplified notation using differential forms and omitting the space-time index $\mu$. The Hodge duality operator is denoted by star. We formally integrated over variables $v$ and $y$. We are going to show that this is equivalent to the original partition function

$$Z_0 = \int \mathcal{D}x \, e^{-S[x]}, \tag{5.3}$$

where $S[x]$ is defined in (2.4).

The harmonic parts of the 1-forms $v$, $dy$ and $dx$ are responsible for the topological properties. To see that, let us make the Hodge decomposition of these forms. The 1-form $v$ is separated into exact ($v_e$ is single valued function), co-exact and the harmonic ($dv_h = 0 = d^\dagger v_h$) parts

$$v = dv_e + d^\dagger v_{ce} + v_h, \tag{5.4}$$

while the 1-forms $dy$ and $dx$ have the exact and the harmonic parts

$$dy = dy_e + y_h, \quad dx = dx_e + x_h. \tag{5.5}$$

Note that for the closed forms the co-exact term in the Hodge decomposition is absent. On the toroidal world-sheets the exact and co-exact parts are periodic so, all nontrivial holonomies come from the harmonic parts which equal

$$v_h = v^0_\alpha d\xi^\alpha, \quad y_h = y^0_\alpha d\xi^\alpha, \quad x_h = x^0_\alpha d\xi^\alpha. \tag{5.6}$$

Before we continue with the consideration of the quantum theory, let us confirm the global invariance of the action (2.4). In terms of differential forms the equation (3.2) becomes

$$\delta S \;=\; \frac{\kappa}{3} B_{\mu\nu\rho}\lambda^{\rho} \int dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu}, \tag{5.7}$$

which using the Riemann bilinear relation gives

$$\delta S \;=\; 0. \tag{5.8}$$

The Hodge decomposition, forces the following path integral measure decomposition

$$\mathcal{D}v \to \mathcal{D}v_e \mathcal{D}v_{ce}\, dv_a^0 dv_b^0, \quad \mathcal{D}y \to \mathcal{D}y_e\, dy_a^0 dy_b^0, \quad \mathcal{D}x \to \mathcal{D}x_e\, dx_a^0 dx_b^0, \tag{5.9}$$

where $d$ is ordinary derivative, while $a$ and $b$ represent the canonical homology basis for the torus.

The integration with respect to $y_e$ in (5.1) forces the field strength of the gauge field to vanish

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}v_e \mathcal{D}v_{ce}\, dv_a^0 dv_b^0 dy_a^0 dy_b^0\, \delta(dv)\, e^{-S(v,\Delta V)+i\kappa \int_{\Sigma} v y_h}, \tag{5.10}$$

Note that $dv$ depends only on $v_{ce}$ and consequently $\delta(dv)$ turns to $\delta(d^{\dagger} v_{ce})$. After integration over $v_{ce}$ we obtain

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}v_e\, dv_a^0 dv_b^0 dy_a^0 dy_b^0\, e^{-S(v,\Delta V)+i\kappa \int_{\Sigma} v y_h}, \tag{5.11}$$

with $v$ now being the closed form

$$v = dv_e + v_h. \tag{5.12}$$

The nontrivial holonomies come from the last term in the exponent. Using the Riemann bilinear relation it becomes

$$\int_{\Sigma} v y_h = \oint_a v \oint_b y_h - \oint_a y_h \oint_b v. \tag{5.13}$$

The holonomies of the forms $y$ and $v$ equal

$$\oint_a y_h = 2\pi y_a^0, \quad \oint_b y_h = 2\pi y_b^0, \quad \oint_a v = 2\pi v_a^0, \quad \oint_b v = 2\pi v_b^0, \tag{5.14}$$

and therefore

$$\int_{\Sigma} v y_h = 4\pi^2 (y_b^0 v_a^0 - y_a^0 v_b^0). \tag{5.15}$$

Let us now restrict the coordinate $y$ to periodic one $y \sim y + 2\pi R$, by adding delta functions $\sum_{n_a \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta(y_a^0 - n_a R) \cdot \sum_{n_b \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta(y_b^0 - n_b R)$ to the path integral. Integration over $y_a^0$ and $y_b^0$ yields

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}v_e\, dv_a^0 dv_b^0\, e^{-S(v,\Delta V)} \sum_{n_a \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{\alpha'} n_a R v_b^0} \sum_{n_b \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i\frac{2\pi}{\alpha'} n_b R v_a^0}, \tag{5.16}$$

with $\alpha' = \frac{1}{2\pi\kappa}$. Using the definition of the periodic delta function, $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \delta(x-k) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{i2k\pi x}$, we obtain

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}v_e \, dv_a^0 dv_b^0 \sum_{n_a\in\mathbb{Z}} \delta\Big(\frac{Rv_b^0}{\alpha'} - n_a\Big) \sum_{n_b\in\mathbb{Z}} \delta\Big(\frac{Rv_a^0}{\alpha'} - n_b\Big)\, e^{-S(v,\Delta V)}. \tag{5.17}$$

So, performing the integration over $v_a^0$ and $v_b^0$, we obtain

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}v_e \sum_{n_a, n_b\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{-S(v,\Delta V)}, \tag{5.18}$$

where closed form $v \sim v + 2\pi r$ becomes periodic with

$$r = \frac{\alpha'}{R}. \tag{5.19}$$

In the last relation we recognize the first equation (1.1).

Let us now check how does $\Delta V^\mu$ depend on the choice of the path $P$. Keeping in mind that we already expelled $v_{ce}$ and substituting (5.12) into (3.7) we obtain

$$\Delta V^\mu(\xi) = v_e^\mu(\xi) - v_e^\mu(\xi_0) + \int_P v_h^\mu. \tag{5.20}$$

Let $P_1$ be some other path with the same initial $\xi_0^\alpha$ and the final point $\xi^\alpha$ as the path $P$. Then, the difference in $\Delta V^\mu$ along paths $P$ and $P_1$ equals the integral over closed curve $PP_1^{-1}$ of the harmonic form

$$\Delta V^\mu[P](\xi) - \Delta V^\mu[P_1](\xi) = \oint_{PP_1^{-1}} v_h^\mu. \tag{5.21}$$

Hence, it depends only on the cohomology class of $v_h$. If the closed curve $PP_1^{-1}$ is homological to a curve $na + mb$, $(n, m \in \mathbb{Z})$ then one has

$$\Delta V^\mu[P](\xi) = \Delta V^\mu[P_1](\xi) + 2\pi(nv_a^0 + mv_b^0). \tag{5.22}$$

So, the dependence on the path of the expression $\Delta V^\mu(\xi)$ becomes irrelevant because the difference is constant and the action is invariant to the constant shift of the coordinate, according to (5.8).

Substituting $v_e \to x_e$, $v_h \to x_h$ we obtain the initial theory (5.3)

$$Z \to \int \mathcal{D}x_e \sum_{n_a, n_b\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{-S(dx,x)} = \int \mathcal{D}x \, e^{-S[x]} = Z_0 \tag{5.23}$$

with $x \sim x + 2\pi r$.

Therefore, the winding modes of the Lagrange multiplier $y^\mu$ act as the Lagrange multipliers for the holonomies.

# 6 The T-dual of the T-dual theory

Because the T-dual theory (4.17)

$$^\star S[y]=\frac{\kappa^2}{2}\int d^2\xi\ \partial_+ y_\mu \Theta_-^{\mu\nu}[\Delta V(y)]\partial_- y_\nu, \quad \Delta V(y)=g^{-1}(2b\Delta y+\Delta\tilde{y}), \tag{6.1}$$

is by construction physically equivalent to the initial one (2.4), we should expect that the T-dual of the T-dual theory is just the initial theory. To demonstrate this we should first find the global symmetry of the T-dual action. As can be seen from (3.10) the gauge fixed action, $S_{fix}$, is invariant under the global shift

$$\delta y_\mu=\lambda_\mu=const. \tag{6.2}$$

As the T-dual theory is equivalent to the gauge fixed one, it must have the same global symmetry. One can check that this is indeed the symmetry of (6.1). Note that the action is not invariant under the constant shift of the argument of $\Theta_-^{\mu\nu}$. But, the transformation (6.2) leaves the argument itself, $\Delta V^\mu=V^\mu(\xi)-V^\mu(\xi_0)$, unchanged and consequently the action (6.1) is invariant too.

## 6.1 Gauging the symmetry

Let us localize this symmetry and find the corresponding locally invariant action. We covariantize the derivatives introducing the gauge fields $u_{\pm\mu}$

$$D_\pm y_\mu=\partial_\pm y_\mu+u_{\pm\mu}. \tag{6.3}$$

Demanding $\delta D_\pm y_\mu=0$, we require that $u_{\pm\mu}$ transform as

$$\delta u_{\pm\mu}=-\partial_\pm\lambda_\mu(\tau,\sigma). \tag{6.4}$$

The dual background fields argument $\Delta V^\mu$ is not locally invariant. So, first we construct the invariant expressions for both variables $y_\mu$ and $\tilde{y}_\mu$

$$\begin{aligned} y_\mu^{inv} &\equiv \int_P d\xi^\alpha\, D_\alpha y_\mu=\int_P(d\xi^+ D_+ y_\mu+d\xi^- D_- y_\nu)=\Delta y_\mu+\Delta U_\mu, \\ \tilde{y}_\mu^{inv} &\equiv \int_P d\xi^\alpha \varepsilon^\beta{}_\alpha\, D_\beta y_\mu=\int_P(d\xi^+ D_+ y_\mu-d\xi^- D_- y_\nu)=\Delta\tilde{y}_\mu+\Delta\tilde{U}_\mu, \end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

where $\Delta y_\mu$ and $\Delta\tilde{y}_\mu$ are defined in (4.14) and (4.6) and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta U_\mu &\equiv \int_P d\xi^\alpha u_{\alpha\mu}=\int_P(d\xi^+ u_{+\mu}+d\xi^- u_{-\mu})=U_\mu(\xi)-U_\mu(\xi_0), \\ \Delta\tilde{U}_\mu &\equiv \int_P d\xi^\alpha\varepsilon^\beta{}_\alpha u_{\beta\mu}=\int_P(d\xi^+ u_{+\mu}-d\xi^- u_{-\mu})=\tilde{U}_\mu(\xi)-\tilde{U}_\mu(\xi_0). \end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

Now, it is easy to find the generalization of the background fields argument

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta V^\mu_{inv} &\equiv (g^{-1})^{\mu\nu}(2b_\nu{}^\rho \Delta y^{inv}_\rho + \Delta\tilde{y}^{inv}_\nu) = (g^{-1})^{\mu\nu}[2b_\nu{}^\rho(\Delta y_\rho + \Delta U_\rho) + \Delta\tilde{y}_\nu + \Delta\tilde{U}_\nu] \\ &= \Delta V^\mu(y) + \Delta V^\mu(U) \end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

which is invariant by construction.

Finally, we can construct the dual invariant action

$${}^\star S_{inv} = \frac{\kappa}{2}\int d^2\xi \Big[\kappa D_+ y_\mu \Theta^{\mu\nu}_-[\Delta V_{inv}] D_- y_\nu + u_{+\mu}\partial_- z^\mu - u_{-\mu}\partial_+ z^\mu\Big], \tag{6.8}$$

where the second term makes the gauge fields $u_{\pm\mu}$ nonphysical. The gauge fixing $y_\mu(\xi) = y_\mu(\xi_0)$, produces $D_\pm y_\mu = u_{\pm\mu}$ and $\Delta V^\mu(y) = 0$, so the action becomes

$${}^\star S_{fix}[z, u_\pm] = \frac{\kappa}{2}\int d^2\xi \Big[\kappa u_{+\mu}\Theta^{\mu\nu}_-[\Delta V(U)] u_{-\nu} + u_{+\mu}\partial_- z^\mu - u_{-\mu}\partial_+ z^\mu\Big]. \tag{6.9}$$

## 6.2 Integrating out the Lagrange multiplier

The equation of motion with respect to the Lagrange multiplier $z^\mu$

$$\partial_+ u_{-\mu} - \partial_- u_{+\mu} = 0, \tag{6.10}$$

has the solution

$$u_{\pm\mu} = \partial_\pm y_\mu, \tag{6.11}$$

which substituted to (6.6) gives $U_\mu = y_\mu$.

So, the action (6.9) on this solution becomes

$${}^\star S_{fix}[u_\pm = \partial_\pm y] = \frac{\kappa^2}{2}\int d^2\xi \partial_+ y_\mu \Theta^{\mu\nu}_-[\Delta V(y)]\partial_- y_\nu, \tag{6.12}$$

and coincides with the T-dual action (6.1).

## 6.3 Integrating out the gauge fields

By varying the action (6.9), with respect to the gauge fields $u_{\pm\mu}$, using the fact that

$$\partial_\mu \Theta^{\nu\rho}_- = -2\kappa[\Theta_{0-}\partial_\mu B \Theta_{0-}]^{\nu\rho}, \tag{6.13}$$

we obtain the equations of motion

$$\partial_\pm z^\mu = -\kappa\Theta^{\mu\nu}_\pm[\Delta V(U)]\Big[u_{\pm\nu} \pm 2\beta^\mp_\nu[V(U)]\Big]. \tag{6.14}$$

Note that $\Theta^{\mu\nu}_\pm$ depends on $\Delta V^\mu(U)$ while $\beta_\nu$ depends on $V^\mu(U)$. Using the expression $\Theta^{\mu\nu}_\pm \Pi_{\mp\nu\rho} = \frac{1}{2\kappa}\delta^\mu_\rho$, we can extract $u_{\pm\mu}$

$$u_{\pm\mu} = -2\Pi_{\mp\mu\nu}[\Delta V(U)]\partial_\pm z^\nu \mp 2\beta^\mp_\mu[V(U)]. \tag{6.15}$$

Similarly as in the subsection 4.3, we will solve equations (6.15) and (6.6) iteratively. From the zeroth order solution of (6.15) one finds the zeroth order values of $U_\mu$ and $\tilde{U}_\mu$

$$U_\mu = -2b_{\mu\nu} z^\nu + G_{\mu\nu} \tilde{z}^\nu, \quad \tilde{U}_\mu = -2b_{\mu\nu} \tilde{z}^\nu + G_{\mu\nu} z^\nu, \tag{6.16}$$

and therefore

$$V^\mu(U) = (g^{-1})^{\mu\nu} [2b_\nu{}^\rho U_\rho + \tilde{U}_\nu] = z^\mu, \tag{6.17}$$

and consequently $\beta^\pm_\mu[V(U)] = \beta^\pm_\mu[z]$. Substituting (6.17) into (6.15), we obtain its solution

$$u_{\pm\mu} = -2\Pi_{\mp\mu\nu}[\Delta z] \partial_\pm z^\nu \mp 2\beta^\mp_\mu[z], \quad \big(\Delta z^\mu = z^\mu(\xi) - z^\mu(\xi_0)\big). \tag{6.18}$$

Comparing it with (6.11), we obtain the T-duality transformation of the variables law

$$\partial_\pm y_\mu \cong -2\Pi_{\mp\mu\nu}[\Delta z] \partial_\pm z^\nu \mp 2\beta^\mp_\mu[z]. \tag{6.19}$$

Note that this is the inverse transformation of (4.16). More precisely, substituting $y_\mu$ from (6.19) into (4.16) one has $\partial_\pm x^\mu = \partial_\pm z^\mu$.

Substituting (6.18) into the action (6.9), we obtain

$${}^\star S_{fix}[z] = \kappa \int d^2\xi \partial_+ z^\mu \Pi_{+\mu\nu}[z(\xi) - z(\xi_0)] \partial_- z^\nu. \tag{6.20}$$

But, as we have learned in the section 3 this action is invariant under the global shift in the coordinate. So, we can omit the term $z(\xi_0)$ and obtain the T-dual of the T-dual action

$${}^{\star\star}S[z] \equiv {}^\star S_{fix}[z] = \kappa \int d^2\xi \partial_+ z^\mu \Pi_{+\mu\nu}[z] \partial_- z^\nu, \tag{6.21}$$

which is in fact the initial action. So, the second T-duality turns the doubled target space $(y_\mu, \tilde{y}_\mu)$ back to the conventional space $z^\mu$.

# 7 The features of the T-duality

There are two important features of the T-duality which we will consider here. First, the momentum and the winding numbers of the original theory are equal to the winding and the momentum numbers of the T-dual theory respectively. Second, the equation of motion and the Bianchi identity of the original theory are equal to the Bianchi identity and the equation of motion of the T-dual theory [13]. So, T-duality interchanges momentum and winding numbers, as well as the equations of motion and Bianchi identities. Because in our case, the action is invariant up to the total divergences, the conserved charges can in general differ from the corresponding momenta.

### 7.1 T-dualities in terms of the conserved currents and charges

We will discuss the above mentioned features by investigating the Noether and the topological currents and their charges. As a consequence of the global shift invariance there exist the conserved Noether currents

$$j^\alpha_\mu = \pi^\alpha_\mu - \kappa\beta^\alpha_\mu[x], \quad \partial_\alpha j^\alpha_\mu = 0. \tag{7.1}$$

Here $\pi^\alpha_\mu = \frac{\delta S}{\delta\partial_\alpha x^\mu}$ and $\beta^\alpha_\mu$ is defined in (A.3).

From the action (2.4) follows

$$j^\alpha_\mu = \kappa\Big[\Big(\eta^{\alpha\beta}G_{\mu\nu} + 2\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}B_{\mu\nu}[x]\Big)\partial_\beta x^\nu - \beta^\alpha_\mu[x]\Big], \tag{7.2}$$

or in the light-cone coordinates $j^\pm_\mu = \frac{1}{2}(j^0_\mu \pm j^1_\mu)$

$$j^\pm_\mu = 2\kappa\Big[\pm\Pi_{\pm\mu\nu}[x]\partial_\mp x^\nu - \beta^\pm_\mu[x]\Big]. \tag{7.3}$$

The current conservation equation $\partial_+ j^+_\mu + \partial_- j^-_\mu = 0$ is in fact the equation of motion of the original theory

$$\partial_+\partial_- x^\mu - B^\mu{}_{\nu\rho}\partial_+ x^\nu \partial_- x^\rho = 0. \tag{7.4}$$

Let us now turn to the $T$-dual description. As the consequence of (6.19) one has

$$j^\alpha_\mu \cong {}^\star i^\alpha_\mu = -\kappa\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\beta y_\mu, \tag{7.5}$$

where ${}^\star i^\alpha_\mu$ is the topological current, because

$$\partial_\alpha {}^\star i^\alpha_\mu = 0 \tag{7.6}$$

is the identity, which is known as the Bianchi identity. So, $T$-duality relates the conservation of the Noether and the topological currents laws, which are in fact the equations of motion and the Bianchi identities.

Note that from (7.1) and (7.5), for $\alpha = 0$ one has

$$\pi_\mu - \kappa\beta^0_\mu[x] \cong \kappa y'_\mu, \tag{7.7}$$

where $\pi_\mu$ is canonical momentum corresponding to the variable $x^\mu$.

The charges associated with the conserved currents (7.1)

$$Q_\mu = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\sigma j^0_\mu = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\sigma\Big[\pi_\mu - \kappa\beta^0_\mu\Big], \tag{7.8}$$

in general could differ from the momenta quantum numbers (Kaluza-Klein modes). In the particular case, when the string is curled up around only one compactified dimension, i.e.

$x^i = c\sigma$, $x^j = 0$, $j \neq i$ one has $\beta^0_\mu = 0$, because of the antisymmetry of $B_{\mu\nu\rho}$. Then the conserved charges turn to momenta quantum numbers.

The charge corresponding to the conserved topological current

$$^\star q_\mu = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\sigma \, ^\star i^0_\mu = \kappa \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\sigma y'_\mu, \tag{7.9}$$

is just the winding number of the $T$-dual theory. As the consequence of (7.7), the Noether charges transform under $T$-duality into the topological charges

$$Q_\mu \cong \, ^\star q_\mu. \tag{7.10}$$

For $\beta^0_\mu = 0$ this just describes the fact that $T$-duality transforms the momenta numbers of the initial theory into the winding numbers of the $T$-dual theory.

Because the T-dual of the T-dual theory is the original theory, we can apply the same procedure in the other direction. From (4.17) we obtain the T-dual Noether currents

$$^\star j^{\alpha\mu} = \, ^\star\pi^{\alpha\mu} - \kappa^2 \theta_0^{\mu\nu} \beta^\alpha_\nu[V] + \kappa (g^{-1})^{\mu\nu} \epsilon^\alpha{}_\beta \beta^\beta_\nu[V], \tag{7.11}$$

with

$$^\star\pi^{\alpha\mu} = \frac{\delta \, ^\star S}{\delta(\partial_\alpha y_\mu)} = \kappa \Big[ \eta^{\alpha\beta} (G_E^{-1})^{\mu\nu}[\Delta V] + \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \theta^{\mu\nu}[\Delta V] \Big] \partial_\beta y_\nu. \tag{7.12}$$

In the light-cone coordinates one has

$$^\star j^{\pm\mu} = \pm \frac{\kappa^2}{2} \Theta^{\mu\nu}_\mp[\Delta V] \Big[ \partial_\mp y_\nu \mp 2\beta^\pm_\nu[V] \Big]. \tag{7.13}$$

The conservation law for T-dual current $\partial_+ \, ^\star j^{+\mu} + \partial_- \, ^\star j^{-\mu} = 0$, is just the equation of motion in T-dual theory

$$\partial_+ \Big[ \Theta^{\mu\nu}_-[\Delta V] \partial_- y_\nu - 2\Theta^{\mu\nu}_{0-} \beta^+_\nu[V] \Big] - \partial_- \Big[ \Theta^{\mu\nu}_+[\Delta V] \partial_+ y_\nu + 2\Theta^{\mu\nu}_{0+} \beta^-_\nu[V] \Big] = 0. \tag{7.14}$$

T-duality according to (4.16) transform Noether currents of the T-dual theory to the topological currents of the original theory (formally to the topological currents of T-dual of T-dual theory)

$$^\star j^{\alpha\mu} \cong i^{\alpha\mu} = -\kappa \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\beta x^\mu. \tag{7.15}$$

The conservation of the topological currents $\partial_\alpha i^{\alpha\mu} = 0$ are just the Bianchi identities. From (7.11) and (7.15) for $\alpha = 0$ follows

$$^\star\pi^\mu - \kappa^2 \theta_0^{\mu\nu} \beta^0_\nu[V] + \kappa (g^{-1})^{\mu\nu} \beta^1_\nu[V] \cong \kappa x'^\mu, \tag{7.16}$$

where $^\star\pi^\mu$ is canonical momentum in T-dual theory.

The conserved charges of the dual Noether and the original topological currents for $\beta^\alpha_\mu = 0$

$$^\star Q^\mu = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\sigma\, {}^\star j^{0\mu} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\sigma\, {}^\star \pi^\mu, \quad q^\mu = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\sigma\, i^{0\mu} = \kappa \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\sigma\, x'^\mu. \tag{7.17}$$

are momenta modes of the dual theory and the winding modes of the original theory. They are also according to (7.16), connected by the T-duality transformation

$$^\star Q_\mu \cong q_\mu. \tag{7.18}$$

In the next table we summarize the obtained relations: T-duality transformation relates the Noether currents with the topological ones; the corresponding conservation laws relate the equations of motion with Bianchi identities, while the corresponding Noether charges relate momenta and winding modes.

| *Original theory* $S$ | $\longrightarrow$ | *T-dual theory* $^\star S$ |
|---|---|---|
| *Noether current* $j^\alpha_\mu$ | | *Topological current* $^\star i^\alpha_\mu = -\kappa\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\beta y_\mu$ |
| *Conservation law = Equation of motion* | | *Conservation law = Bianchi identity* |
| $\partial_\alpha j^\alpha_\mu = 0$ | | $\partial_\alpha {}^\star i^\alpha_\mu = 0$ |
| *Noether conserved charge* | | *Topological conserved charge* |
| $Q_\mu = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\sigma j^0_\mu = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\sigma \pi_\mu = P_\mu$ | | $^\star q_\mu = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\sigma\, {}^\star i^0_\mu = \kappa \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\sigma y'_\mu = {}^\star W_\mu$ |
| *T-dual of T-dual theory* $^{\star\star}S = S$ | $\longleftarrow$ | *T-dual theory* $^\star S$ |
| *Topological current* $i^{\alpha\mu} = -\kappa\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\beta x^\mu$ | | *Noether current* $^\star j^{\alpha\mu}$ |
| *Conservation law = Bianchi identity* | | *Conservation law = Equation of motion* |
| $\partial_\alpha i^{\alpha\mu} = 0$ | | $\partial_\alpha {}^\star j^{\alpha\mu} = 0$ |
| *Topological conserved charge* | | *Noether conserved charge* |
| $q^\mu = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\sigma\, i^{0\mu} = \kappa \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\sigma x'^\mu = W^\mu$ | | $^\star Q^\mu = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\sigma\, {}^\star j^{0\mu} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\sigma\, {}^\star \pi^\mu = {}^\star P^\mu$ |

## 8 Conclusion

In this paper, we considered the closed bosonic string moving in the weakly curved background. This background is defined by the constant space-time metric and the linear in coordinate Kalb-Ramond field, where the coordinate dependence is infinitesimally small. With such a choice the space-time equations of motion were satisfied. The aim of the paper was to investigate the T-dual theory in curved background.

Earlier, in the number of papers the similar topic, restricted to the string in the flat background, was discussed. In these papers, the prescriptions for the construction of the T-dual theories were established. We followed the steps of the covariant generalization of the Buscher's construction in our own attempt to build the T-dual theory in the weakly curved background.

In Buscher's construction, one starts with the sigma model constructed from background fields $G$, $B$, $\Phi$ which do not depend on some coordinates $x^a$. So, the corresponding abelian isometries leave the action invariant. We started with the sigma model in the weakly curved background. We found that the action still has the global symmetry $\delta x^\mu = \lambda^\mu = const$, even-though the background fields depend of these coordinates. So, we gauged it in the usual way by introducing the gauge fields $v^\mu_\alpha$, replacing the derivatives $\partial_\alpha x^\mu$ with the covariant ones, which were built to be gauge invariant. In our case this was not sufficient to construct the invariant action, because the background field $B_{\mu\nu}$ depends on $x^\mu$ which is not locally gauge invariant. The essential new step in our gauging prescription is the introduction of the invariant coordinate, as the line integral of its covariant derivatives. This kind of coordinate enables the local invariance. Its path dependence has been carefully investigated. The introduction of the invariant coordinate makes our procedure applicable to a wide class of sigma models.

As usual, for T-dual theory to be physically equivalent to the original theory, one had to eliminate all the degrees of freedom carried by the gauge fields. This was achieved by adding the Lagrange multiplier term $y_\mu F^\mu_{01}$ into Lagrangian. At this point we fixed the gauge. The action obtained in this way reduced to the initial one after integrating out the Lagrange multiplier $y_\mu$.

An important issue of the global structure of the theory has been discussed in the section 5. It was shown that in the quantum theory we are able to overcome topological obstructions. In particular the winding modes of the Lagrange multiplier $y_\mu$ act as the Lagrange multiplier for the holonomies of $v$. So, we proved that the gauge fixed action is equivalent to the initial one and that the invariant coordinate is single valued.

On the equations of motion for gauge fields, one obtains the T-dual action. We solved the equations of motion for the gauge fields iteratively. We obtained the expressions for the gauge fields in terms of the Lagrange multiplier and its T-dual, first for the case of the absent background ($T_0$), then for the constant background ($T_1$) and finally for the weakly curved one ($T$). Substituting the last one into the action, we obtained the explicit T-dual action describing the T-dual theory in the weakly curved background.

In the flat background case, the T-dual action was given in terms of the T-dual variable which turned out to be the Lagrange multiplier itself. In the weakly curved case, the T-dual action is defined in the doubled space given in terms of the Lagrange multiplier and its $T$-dual. The dual background fields depend on $\Delta V^\mu$, the linear combination of these variables.

Starting from the T-dual action and following the T-dual prescription we proposed, we obtained the initial one. We found the global symmetry, and gauged it, introducing the gauge fields. As before we defined the invariant coordinate and additionally its dual. This led to an invariant action. Fixing the gauge, on the equations of motion for the gauge fields we obtain the initial action.

The fact that we succeeded in finding the rules for obtaining the T-dual theory from the initial one in the weakly curved background and the inverse rules, allowed us to comment the two main features of T-duality. These two are: T-duality relates the original and T-dual theory, by mapping the momentum numbers of one theory with the winding numbers of other and the equations of motion of one with the Bianchi identities of other.

Starting with the initial theory and its global shift invariance we found the conserved Noether currents $j^\alpha_\mu$. The currents conservation laws $\partial_\alpha j^\alpha_\mu = 0$ are in fact the equations of motion and the associated charges $Q_\mu = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\sigma j^0_\mu = P_\mu$ are momentum numbers. T-dual of Noether currents are the topological currents ${}^\star i^\alpha_\mu$ of T-dual theory. Their conservation laws $\partial_\alpha {}^\star i^\alpha_\mu = 0$ are in fact Bianchi identities and the associated charges ${}^\star q_\mu = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} {}^\star i^0_\mu = {}^\star W_\mu$ are the winding numbers. So, T-duality relates equations of motion with Bianchi identities and the momentum with winding modes. Analogously, starting with the T-dual action and its global symmetry we found that the Noether currents and theirs associated charges (dual momentum numbers) correspond to the topological currents of the initial theory and theirs charges (winding numbers).

## A Variation with respect to the argument of the background fields

Variation of the action (3.10), with respect to $v^\mu_\pm$ produces nontrivial term when we vary $\Delta V^\mu$ with respect to $v^\mu_\pm$, because it is the line integral of $v_\pm$. This nontrivial term has the form

$$\delta_V S_{fix} = \kappa \int d^2\xi \, v^\nu_+ \partial_\mu \Pi_{+\nu\rho}(\Delta V) v^\rho_- \, \delta V^\mu \equiv \kappa \int d^2\xi \, \eta_\mu[v_\pm] \, \delta V^\mu, \tag{A.1}$$

and the variable $\eta_\mu$ in the weakly curved background reduces to

$$\eta_\mu[v] = v^\nu_+ \partial_\mu B_{\nu\rho} v^\rho_- = \partial_\mu B_{\nu\rho} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} v^\nu_\alpha v^\rho_\beta. \tag{A.2}$$

Note that $\partial_\mu B_{\nu\rho}$ is constant, so the $\Delta V^\mu$ dependence is gone. Using the fact that $\partial_\alpha V^\mu = v^\mu_\alpha$, we can rewrite $\eta_\mu$ as a derivative of some function $\beta^\alpha_\mu$

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_\mu[v] &= \partial_\alpha \beta^\alpha_\mu[V], \\ \beta^\alpha_\mu[V] &\equiv \partial_\mu B_{\nu\rho} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} V^\nu \partial_\beta V^\rho. \end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

After the partial integration, the term (A.1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_V S_{fix} &= -\kappa \int d^2\xi \, \beta^\alpha_\mu[V] \, \partial_\alpha \delta V^\mu = -\kappa \int d^2\xi \beta^\alpha_\mu[V] \delta v^\mu_\alpha \\ &= -\kappa \int d^2\xi \Big[ \beta^+_\mu[V] \delta v^\mu_+ + \beta^-_\mu[V] \delta v^\mu_- \Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

# References

[1] L.Brink, M.B. Green, J.H. Schwarz, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 198** (1982) 474; K. Kikkawa and M.Yamasaki, *Phys. Lett.* **B 149** (1984) 357; N. Sakai and I. Senda, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **75** (1984) 692.

[2] E. Alvarez, L. Alvarez-Gaume and Y. Lozano, *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **41** (1995) 1; A. Giveon, M.Parrati and E. Rabinovici, *Phys. Rep.* **244** (1994) 77.

[3] K.Narain, H. Sarmadi and E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 279** (1987) 369.

[4] T. Buscher, *Phys. Lett.* **B 194** (1987) 51; **201** (1988) 466.

[5] B. Nikolić and B. Sazdović, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 836** (2010) 100.

[6] B. Nikolić and B. Sazdović, *Phys. Rev.* **D 84** (2011) 065012.

[7] M. Ročer and E. Verlinde, *Nucl.Phys.* **B373** (1992) 630.

[8] E. Alvarez, L. Alvarez-Gaume, J. Barbon and Y. Lozano, *Nuc. Phys.* **B 415** (1994) 71.

[9] X. C. de la Ossa and F. Quevedo, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 403** (1993) 377.

[10] C. Klimcik and P. Severa, *Phys. Lett.* **B 351** (1995) 455.

[11] C. M. Hull, *JHEP* **0710** (2007) 057.

[12] C. M. Hull and R. A. Reid-Edwards, *JHEP* **0808** (2008) 043.

[13] A. Giveon and M. Roček, *Nucl.Phys.* **B 421** (1994) 173.

[14] C. M. Hull and B. J. Spence, *Phys. Lett.* **B 232** (1989) 204.

[15] C. Hull, *JHEP* **10** (2005) 065; A. Dabholkar and C. Hull, *JHEP* **05** (2006) 009; C. Hull, *JHEP* **07** (2007) 080; J. Shelton, W. Taylor and B. Wecht, *JHEP* **10** (2005) 085; A. Dabholkar and C. Hull, *JHEP* **09** (2003) 054; A. Flournoy and B. Williams, *JHEP* **01** (2006) 166; T. Kugo, B. Zwiebach, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **87** (1992) 801; O. Hohm, C. Hull and B. Zwiebach, *JHEP* **08** (2010) 008; O. Hohm, C. Hull and B. Zwiebach, *JHEP* **07** (2010) 016; B. Wecht, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **24 21** (2007) S773; D. Lust, *JHEP* **12** (2010) 084; D.Mylonas, P. Schupp and R. Szabo, arXiv:1207.0926 [hep-th].

[16] K. Becker, M. Becker and J. Schwarz *String Theory and M-Theory: A Modern Introduction*; Zwiebach, *A First Course in String Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 2004.

[17] Lj. Davidović and B. Sazdović, *Phys. Rev.* **D 83** (2011) 066014; *JHEP* **08** (2011) 112; *EPJ* **C 72** No. 11 (2012) 2199.

[18] D. Andriot, M. Larfors, D. Lust, P. Patalong, arXiv:1211.6437 [hep-th].